

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 77

Proposition 77 Makes Things Worse

Every time they don't get their way, politicians cook up new schemes to change the rules. They've tried sneaking redistricting schemes past voters four times over the last 25 years, and each time, VOTERS SAID NO!

This time, their plan will cost taxpayers millions, and three judges and two courts have ruled it was illegally qualified for the ballot.

Don't be fooled! *Read the fine print.* This undemocratic and unfair redistricting scheme has huge loopholes.

BIG FLAWS:

- 1) VOTERS LOSE THEIR RIGHT to reject redistricting plans before they go into effect.
- 2) POLITICIANS SELECT THE JUDGES to draw their districts for them.
- 3) Prop 77 COSTS TAXPAYERS MILLIONS each time they reject redistricting plans.
- 4) Only 3 UNELECTED JUDGES WILL DECIDE EVERYTHING. That's not fair or balanced.
- 5) This unworkable scheme will be CEMENTED INTO OUR CONSTITUTION!

PLANS TAKE EFFECT WITHOUT VOTER APPROVAL

Redistricting plans made from Prop 77 automatically go into effect WITH NO APPROVAL FROM VOTERS. That's backwards. Voters should approve plans BEFORE they take effect, not afterward. By the time voters have a say, the damage is done. Why won't they let voters approve the plans first?

POLITICIANS STILL IN CONTROL

Under Prop 77, politicians in the Legislature choose the judges to draw their political

SUBJECT TO COURT ORDERED CHANGES

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 77

districts. Politicians get the best of both worlds – they still pick their voters and now they can hide behind judges. There's no accountability!

REQUIRES MULTIPLE COSTLY ELECTIONS

If voters reject redistricting plans, the entire process starts over – new judges, new plans, more elections, and more political bickering – wasting millions of tax dollars. This could go on indefinitely... with election after election... until voters finally approve... all at *TAXPAYER EXPENSE!*

GIVES TOO MUCH POWER TO JUST 3 UNACCOUNTABLE JUDGES

This redistricting scheme gives too much power to three retired judges to decide the future of 35 million Californians. These unelected judges have nothing to fear by upsetting the will of the voters.

NOT THE WAY TO CHANGE OUR CONSTITUTION

Prop 77 changes our Constitution. But the Constitution is not a place to experiment with California's future. They're playing political games with a sacred document.

MOST AREAS OF THE STATE UNREPRESENTED

Under Prop 77, all three judges could be from the same area. That's not fair. For example, three Northern California judges could break up Southern California communities, or vice versa. Central Valley voters could have no redistricting panel representation at all!

What effect would this have on regional issues like *WATER RIGHTS* and *TRANSPORTATION FUNDING?*

WHY NOW? WHAT'S THEIR MOTIVE?

Redistricting isn't scheduled to occur until 2011, after the Census gives an update on

**SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES**

ARGUMENT AGAINST PROPOSITION 77

California's population. Instead, special interests spent millions of dollars to rush this strange plan onto the special election ballot. What's their motive?

We do need to reform our government, but Prop 77 isn't the answer.

VOTE NO ON PROP 77. IT WON'T MAKE ANYTHING BETTER.

www.NoOnProposition77.com

DANIEL H. LOWENSTEIN, *Former Chair*

Fair Political Practices Commission

JUDGE GEORGE H. ZENOVICH, *Associate Justice Retired*

5th District Court of Appeal

HENRY L. "HANK" LACAYO, *State President*

Congress of California Seniors

SUBJECT TO COURT
ORDERED CHANGES